

Time Series and Prediction

Practice Homework
| 17 January 2019

Loading the data into R workspace and constructing log return from the adjusted price series of the AAPL ticker over the entire sample. `AAPL <- read.csv2("AAPL.csv",`

```
    sep=",") dates
<- as.character(AAPL$timestamp)
AAPL <- as.data.frame(
  lapply(AAPL, as.numeric) ) log.returns <- log(AAPL$adjusted_close[-
1]/AAPL$adjusted_close[-nrow(AAPL)])
```

```
(ADF <-
adf.test(log.returns,
         k=21)
)
```

Warning in `adf.test(log.returns, k = 21)`: p-value smaller than printed p-value
Augmented Dickey-Fuller Test

```
data: log.returns Dickey-Fuller = -17.415, Lag
order = 21, p-value = 0.01 alternative
hypothesis: stationary
```

Question 1

ADF test stat: -17.415

Question 2

The P-value is less than to the alpha level of 0.05 which it is, then we can reject the Null Hypothesis. So our Null in this case is rejected. Yes, we reject the null of nonstationarity.

```
Question 3 cat("Mean:",
mean(log.returns))
```

```
Mean: -
0.001290663
```

```
Question 4 cat("Variance:",
var(log.returns))
```

```
Variance:
0.02253269
```

Question 5 `cat("Skewness:",
skewness(log.returns))`

Skewness: -
0.1459896

Question 6 `cat("Kurtosis:",
kurtosis(log.returns))`

1

Kurtosis:
75.04732

Question 7

```
hist(log.returns,  
ns,  
main="Distribution of Log  
Returns", xlab="log returns")
```

Distribution of Log Returns

0 052y cneuqerF0log returns 0051005-2 -1 0 1 2

From the skewness we can see that the empirical distribution of returns is **negatively skewed**.

Question 8

Inspection of the kurtosis reveals that the empirical distribution of return is **thin tailed**.

Question 9

```
cat("Max:",  
",  
max(log.returns), "Min:",  
min(log.returns))
```

Max: 1.637481 Min: -
2.397895

Question 10

```
cat(sum(abs(log.returns) > 0.05) / length(log.returns) * 100,
```

```
"% of days with a return larger than 5% in absolute value")
```

20.35214 % of days with a return larger than 5% in absolute value

Question 11

2

```
(JB <-  
jarque.bera.test(log.returns))
```

Jarque Bera
Test

```
data: log.returns X-squared = 1240500,  
df = 2, p-value < 2.2e-16 cat("JB  
stat:", JB$statistic)
```

JB stat:
1240527

Question 12 `cat("JB p-
value:", JB$p.value)`

JB p-value:
0

Question 13

The P-value is less than to the alpha level of 0.05 which it is, then we can reject the Null Hypothesis. So our Null in this case is rejected. Yes, we reject the null of normality.

Question 14 `sd(log.returns) *
sqrt(250) * 100`

[1]
237.3431

Question 15 `index <- unname(sapply(dates,
get.year.index, year="2007"))
sd(log.returns[index]) * sqrt(250) * 100`

[1]
79.12173

Question 16 `index <- unname(sapply(dates,
get.year.index, year="2009"))
sd(log.returns[index]) * sqrt(250) * 100`

[1]
241.5642

Question 17 `index <- unname(sapply(dates,
get.year.index, year="2014"))
sd(log.returns[index]) * sqrt(250) * 100`

[1]
272.5155

Question 18 `ACF <- acf(log.returns,
lag.max=126, plot=TRUE)`

3

Series log.returns

0.18.06.0F C A 4.02.02.0 -0 20 40 60 80 100 120

Lag

`acf(log.returns, lag.max=5,
plot=FALSE)`

Autocorrelations of series log.returns ,
by lag

0 1 2 3 4 5 1.000 -0.231 0.004 -
0.063 0.003 0.006

Question 19
`sum(abs(ACF$acf) < 0.0
01)`

[1]
4

Question 20

`sum(abs(ACF$acf) > 0.05)`

[1]

4

SAMPLE ASSIGNMENT